Name of presenter _____ University _____

Score ranking _____ UG or GS _____

1 Poor 2 Fair 3 Satisfactory 4 Above average 5 Excellent

Please evaluate the following with 5 as excellent. Criteria are weighted (x).

Structure and Quality	Score	x	Final
1. Intro: background and objectives of the study explained		2	
2. Methods: clearly presented and appropriate to the level of the audience		3	
3. Research/Results/Discussion: clear, relevant and of quality		4	
4. Conclusion/Summary: tied in with objectives, important points covered		1	

Style	Score	x	Final
1. Presence: clear voice, good pace, engaged audience		4	
2. Organisation: logical and guided the listener through the presentation		2	
3. Audiovisuals: effectively used, text and graphics readable, not cluttered		2	

Knowledge	Score	x	Final
1. Understanding: Displayed mastery of topic, good response to questions		4	

Total ____

Name of presenter _____ University _____

Score ranking _____ UG or GS _____

1 Poor 2 Fair 3 Satisfactory 4 Above average 5 Excellent

Please evaluate the following with 5 as excellent. Criteria are weighted (x).

Structure and Quality	Score	x	Final
1. Intro: background and objectives of the study explained		2	
2. Methods: clearly presented and appropriate to the level of the audience		3	
3. Research/Results/Discussion: clear, relevant and of quality		4	
4. Conclusion/Summary: tied in with objectives, important points covered		1	

Style	Score	x	Final
1. Presence: clear voice, good pace, engaged audience		4	
2. Organisation: logical and guided the listener through the presentation		2	
3. Audiovisuals: effectively used, text and graphics readable, not cluttered		2	

Knowledge	Score	x	Final
1. Understanding: Displayed mastery of topic, good response to questions		4	

Total ____